### Section I. Property Information

	-			TO MARKETING PROPERTY
O1	*	- Various Report to 42 Mar Double Branch Control	SUFSUBILE THROPERTY	AND ALL ADJACENT PARCELS
	bmitted by:	Edith Johnson		
	one #:	<u>612-673-5262</u>		
	m Initiated Date:	* ·		
	mplete by Date:	6/44Y/2038		
	Address:	2500 Plymouth A		
		· .	): <u>17-029-24-44-0191</u>	
	Lot Size:	41 x 117 4,811 So	<u>ìft</u>	
4	Current Use:	vacant land		
5.	Current Zoning:		A second of the	
6.	Proposed future	use (include attach	ments as necessary):	Single Family Housing Development
7.	List addresses of Plymouth (2506)	of adjacent parcels of and 2510 Plymouth	owned by CPED/City:  n) that are all part of the	There are other adjacent properties on developer's Green Homes North proposal.
8.	Project Coordina exclusive developroposal did not competion for the	ator comments: <u>This</u> opment rights agree materialize and Cit e redevelopment of	s parcel is part of the Gr ment for the developme y in conjunction with the these parcels. Since the	een Homes North Project. There was an ent of a 7-unit artist housing development. The eneighborhood group agreed to do a design at time, ArtSpace has proposed as part of the two adjacent ones for single family
PR	<u>HOGOING.</u> OJECT COORDINA	TOR FMAIL FO	DRM TO ARI ENE ROBINSO	N@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV
	OCCO COOKDING		7 (1) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	
Se	ction II. Zonina	Review		
	ction II. Zoning I	<b>Review</b> uildable for <b>any</b> struc	cture ⊠ I	Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure
	Lot is Bu	uildable for <b>any</b> struc		Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure
9.	Lot is Bu	uildable for <b>any</b> struc rcel is a buildable lo	t of record despite the 5	Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure   000 sf minimum lot area requirement.
9. 10.	Lot is Bu Explain: The pa Will any land us Yes No	uildable for <b>any</b> struc rcel is a buildable lo e applications be re	t of record despite the 5 quired to achieve the <b>p</b> r	Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure
9. 10. dw	Lot is Bu Explain: The pa Will any land us Yes \( \sum \) No	uildable for <b>any</b> structions is a buildable loge eapplications be re	t of record despite the 5 quired to achieve the <b>pi</b> If yes, what applications	Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure   000 sf minimum lot area requirement.  roposed future use noted in item 6?  ? Administrative Site Plan Review for a 1-4
9. 10. <u>dw</u>	Lot is Bu Explain: The pa Will any land us Yes  No elling. Comments: Ci	uildable for <b>any</b> structive is a buildable loge applications be records indicate a	t of record despite the 5 quired to achieve the <b>pr</b> If yes, what applications a 1000 sf commercial bu	Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure   000 sf minimum lot area requirement.  roposed future use noted in item 6?
9. 10. <u>dw</u> 11. Co	Lot is Bu Explain: The pa Will any land us Yes \( \sum \) No elling. Comments: Ci mpleted by: Ro	uildable for any structive is a buildable lose applications be records indicate applicate applications.	t of record despite the 5 quired to achieve the <b>pr</b> If yes, what applications a 1000 sf commercial bu Date: <u>5/13/2013</u>	Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure  000 sf minimum lot area requirement.  roposed future use noted in item 6?  ? Administrative Site Plan Review for a 1-4  uilding was razed from this site in 1981.
9. 10. dw/ 11. Co/	Lot is Bu Explain: The pa Will any land us Yes \( \sum \) No elling. Comments: Ci mpleted by: Ro NING STAFF:	uildable for <b>any</b> structive is a buildable lose applications be records indicate applicate applications and applications applicated applications applicated applications applica	t of record despite the 5 quired to achieve the pr If yes, what applications a 1000 sf commercial bu Date: 5/13/2013 DRM TO ARLENE ROBINSO	Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure   000 sf minimum lot area requirement.  roposed future use noted in item 6?  ? Administrative Site Plan Review for a 1-4
9. 10. dw 11. Cor <b>Se</b>	Lot is Bu Explain: The pa Will any land us Yes \( \sum \) No elling. Comments: Ci mpleted by: Ro NING STAFF:	uildable for any structive is a buildable lose applications be records indicate appert Clarksen  EMAIL FOR AUTOMATICAL PROPERTY Planning Rev	t of record despite the 5 quired to achieve the property of th	Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure  000 sf minimum lot area requirement.  Toposed future use noted in item 6?  ? Administrative Site Plan Review for a 1-4  uilding was razed from this site in 1981.
9. 10. 11. Con Zon Sec 12.	Lot is Bu Explain: The pa Will any land us Yes \( \sum \) No elling. Comments: Ci mpleted by: Ro NING STAFF: ction III. Comme	uildable for any structive is a buildable lose applications be rest of the records indicate appeared by the records indicate appeare	t of record despite the 5 quired to achieve the pr If yes, what applications a 1000 sf commercial bu Date: 5/13/2013 DRM TO ARLENE ROBINSO Priew Iffect for parcel: There a	Non-Buildable for any structure  000 sf minimum lot area requirement. roposed future use noted in item 6? ? Administrative Site Plan Review for a 1-4 uilding was razed from this site in 1981.  N@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV re no small area plans in effect for this parcel.
9. 10. 11. Cor <b>Se</b> 12.	Lot is Bu Explain: The pa Will any land us Yes \( \subseteq \) No elling. Comments: Ci mpleted by: Ro NING STAFF: ction III. Commo Future land use Minneapolis Pla	aildable for any structive is a buildable lose applications be restructed by the records indicate a best Clarksen  EMAIL FOR Lanity Planning Reveals area plan(s) in endiced the records indicate and the records indicate an	t of record despite the 5 quired to achieve the pr If yes, what applications a 1000 sf commercial bu Date: 5/13/2013 DRM TO ARLENE ROBINSO Fiew If ect for parcel: There are stified in The Minneapolis rowth designates this are	Non-Buildable for any structure  000 sf minimum lot area requirement. roposed future use noted in item 6? ? Administrative Site Plan Review for a 1-4 uilding was razed from this site in 1981.  N@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV  re no small area plans in effect for this parcel. s Plan and other adopted plans: The rea as Urban Neighborhood.
9. 10. 11. Cor <b>Se</b> 12.	Lot is Bu Explain: The pa Will any land us Yes \( \subseteq \) No elling. Comments: Ci mpleted by: Ro NING STAFF: ction III. Commo Future land use Minneapolis Pla	ildable for any structive is a buildable lose applications be restricted by records indicate applications be restricted by records indicate application of the structure of the	t of record despite the 5 quired to achieve the properties of the	Non-Buildable for any structure  000 sf minimum lot area requirement. roposed future use noted in item 6? ? Administrative Site Plan Review for a 1-4 uilding was razed from this site in 1981.  N@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV  re no small area plans in effect for this parcel. s Plan and other adopted plans: The rea as Urban Neighborhood.
9. 10. dw/ 11. Co/ Sec. 12. 13.	Lot is Bu Explain: The pa Will any land us Yes ⊠ No elling. Comments: Ci mpleted by: Ro NING STAFF: ction III. Commo List adopted sm Future land use Minneapolis Pla Is future land us Yes ⊠ No	ty records indicate a best Clarksen  EMAIL FO  all area plan(s) in endicate a plan(s) in endicate a proposed in item  Employed in item  Employed in item	t of record despite the 5 quired to achieve the pr If yes, what applications a 1000 sf commercial bu Date: 5/13/2013 DRM TO ARLENE ROBINSO Fiew Iffect for parcel: There are stified in The Minneapolis rowth designates this are 6 consistent with future If no, why not?	Non-Buildable for any structure  000 sf minimum lot area requirement. roposed future use noted in item 6? ? Administrative Site Plan Review for a 1-4 uilding was razed from this site in 1981.  N@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV  re no small area plans in effect for this parcel. s Plan and other adopted plans: The rea as Urban Neighborhood. land use plans?
9. 10. dw/ 11. Co/ Sec. 12. 13.	Lot is Bu Explain: The pa Will any land us Yes ⊠ No elling. Comments: Ci mpleted by: Ro NING STAFF: ction III. Commo List adopted sm Future land use Minneapolis Pla Is future land us Yes ⊠ No	ty records indicate a best Clarksen  EMAIL FO  all area plan(s) in endicate a plan(s) in endicate a proposed in item  Employed in item  Employed in item	t of record despite the 5 quired to achieve the pr If yes, what applications a 1000 sf commercial bu Date: 5/13/2013 DRM TO ARLENE ROBINSO Fiew Iffect for parcel: There are stified in The Minneapolis rowth designates this are 6 consistent with future If no, why not?	Non-Buildable for any structure  000 sf minimum lot area requirement. roposed future use noted in item 6? ? Administrative Site Plan Review for a 1-4 uilding was razed from this site in 1981.  N@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV  re no small area plans in effect for this parcel. s Plan and other adopted plans: The rea as Urban Neighborhood.
9. 10. dw/ 11. Co/ Sec. 12. 13.	Lot is Bu Explain: The pa Will any land us Yes \( \subseteq \text{Note lling.} \) Comments: Ci mpleted by: Ro NING STAFF: Ction III. Common List adopted sm Future land use Minneapolis Pla Is future land use Yes \( \subseteq \text{Note land use} \) Does the City or development?	ildable for any structive is a buildable lose applications be respected by records indicate appetr Clarksen  EMAIL FOR Indicate appetr Clarksen  EMAIL FOR Indicate application (s) in each of the sustainable Government of the proposed in item of the sustainable Government adjacent parcels and adjacent parcels.	t of record despite the 5 quired to achieve the pr If yes, what applications a 1000 sf commercial bu Date: 5/13/2013 DRM TO ARLENE ROBINSO Fiew Iffect for parcel: There are stified in The Minneapolis rowth designates this are 6 consistent with future If no, why not?	Non-Buildable for any structure  000 sf minimum lot area requirement. roposed future use noted in item 6? ? Administrative Site Plan Review for a 1-4 uilding was razed from this site in 1981.  N@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV  re no small area plans in effect for this parcel. s Plan and other adopted plans: The rea as Urban Neighborhood. land use plans?
9. 10. dwd 11. Cor Sec 12. 13. 14.	Lot is Bu Explain: The pa Will any land us Yes \( \subseteq \text{Not} \) elling. Comments: Ci mpleted by: Ro NING STAFF: ction III. Common List adopted sm Future land use Minneapolis Pla Is future land us Yes \( \subseteq \text{Not} \) Does the City or development? Yes \( \subseteq \text{Not} \)	ty records indicate a beet Clarksen  EMAIL FO  all area plan(s) in each of Sustainable Go  the proposed in item of Clarksen of Sustainable Go  and adjacent parcels  and adjacent parcels	t of record despite the 5 quired to achieve the pr If yes, what applications a 1000 sf commercial bu Date: 5/13/2013 DRM TO ARLENE ROBINSO Fiew Iffect for parcel: There are stified in The Minneapolis rowth designates this are 6 consistent with future If no, why not?	Non-Buildable for any structure  000 sf minimum lot area requirement. roposed future use noted in item 6? ? Administrative Site Plan Review for a 1-4 uilding was razed from this site in 1981.  N@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV  re no small area plans in effect for this parcel. s Plan and other adopted plans: The rea as Urban Neighborhood. land use plans? d with this parcel to create a larger development scenarios As noted above, the
9. 10. dw/ 11. Co/ ZO/ Se/ 12. 13. 14.	Lot is Bu Explain: The pa Will any land us Yes \( \subseteq \) No elling. Comments: Ci mpleted by: Ro NING STAFF: ction III. Commo List adopted sm Future land use Minneapolis Pla Is future land use Yes \( \subseteq \) No Does the City or development? Yes \( \subseteq \) No y owns 2506 and	ildable for any structive is a buildable lose applications be respected by records indicate applications be respected by records indicate applications being the structure of the structure of the proposed in item of the structure of the proposed in item of the structure of the proposed in item of the structure o	t of record despite the 5 quired to achieve the pr If yes, what applications a 1000 sf commercial bu Date: 5/13/2013 DRM TO ARLENE ROBINSO Fiew If ect for parcel: There are stiffied in The Minneapolis rowth designates this are 6 consistent with future If no, why not?  that could be combined the yes, explain possible of enue North adjacent to	Non-Buildable for any structure  000 sf minimum lot area requirement. roposed future use noted in item 6? ? Administrative Site Plan Review for a 1-4 uilding was razed from this site in 1981.  N@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV  re no small area plans in effect for this parcel. s Plan and other adopted plans: The rea as Urban Neighborhood. land use plans? d with this parcel to create a larger development scenarios As noted above, the

Comments: While this site is zoned R4, which can support multi-family development, a single-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighborhood category of the comprehensive

Completed by:

Jim Voll

Date: 5/12/13

COMMUNITY PLANNER:

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE. ROBINSON @MINNEAPOLISMN. GOV

Planning Director Review

by: Jack Byers Date: 5/14/2013

PLANNING DIRECTOR:

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE, ROBINSON MINNEAPOLISMN. GOV

Residential Policy and Finance

by: Wes Butler

Date: 5/14/2013

Comments: Residential Finance Concurs with proposal

Residential & Real Estate Development

by: Elfric Porte

Date: 5/14/2013

Comments: R-RED supports the development as proposed.

Business Development Staff Comments

by: Kristin Guild

Date: 5/14/2013

Comments: Business Development supports the proposed sale and redevelopment of this property

Economic Development Director Review

by: Cathy Polasky

Date: 5/15/2013

### PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:

7	PROCEED	to market the	proporty of	nronood
7	LICOLLED	to market the	brobeity as	proposed

Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in Section III) in advance of CD Committee hearing to acquire City Planning Commission finding of consistency with The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth

HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting

Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made

Director's Notes (reason for **HOLD** status):

Housing Director Review

by: Tom Streitz

Date: 5/14/2013

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

### Section I. Property Information

		PROJE	CT COORDINATORS COMP	LETE SECTION I.	
		ENTIRE FORM MU	JST BE COMPLETED PRIOR	TO MARKETING PROPERTY	1.
		idea is maragrem.	aroecusiecensiosec	ANIDZAPIVADDIVACIENTIREZAROJE <b>US</b>	
Su	bmitted by:	Edith Johnson			
Ph	one #:	612-673-5262	•		
Fo	rm Initiated Date:	5/8/2013			
Co	mplete by Date:				•
1.	Address:	2506 Plymouth	Àve N		
2.	Property Identifi	cation Number (Pl	N): <u>17-029-24-44-0192</u>	,	
3.	Lot Size:	38 x 117 4,446 S	Sa ft		
4.	Current Use:	vacant land			
5.	Current Zoning:	R4	·		•
	•	. —	chments as necessary):	Single Family Development	
	•		owned by CPED/City:	There are other adjacent properties	es on
				developer's Green Homes North p	roposal <u>.</u>
8.				een Homes North Project. There w	
				<u>nt of a 7-unit artist housing develop</u>	
				neighborhood group agreed to do	
				at time, ArtSpace has proposed as the two adjacent ones for single fa	
	housing.	NOTET THE GEVELOPIT	terit of triis parcer and trie	the two adjacent ones for single ra	iiiiy
ÞΒ	OJECT COORDINA	TOR' FMAILE	FORM TO <u>ARLENE.ROBINSO</u>	N@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV	
	ction II. Zoning				
	<del></del>	uildable for <b>any</b> str	ucture 🕅 N	lon-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure	
٥.		-	_	inimum lot area and width requirer	nent
10	•			oposed future use noted in item 6	
10.	·	o 🗌	•	? Administrative Site Plan Review f	
dvv	res⊠ N elling	3 <u>                                    </u>	ii yes, what applications	Autilitistrative Site Flatt Neview i	<u> </u>
		ity records indicate	a 1800 sf residence was	razed from this site in 1998.	•
		obert Clarkse <u>n</u>	Date: 5/13/2013	10200 110111111111111111111111111111111	
	NING STAFF:		FORM TO ARLENE.ROB <u>INSO</u>	N@MINNEAPOLISMN GOV	
				NEWINIVEAL OLISIWIN. OOV	
		unity Planning Re		a na amall area plans in offect for th	nie persol
	•	• • •	•	e no small area plans in effect for the	
13.				s Plan and other adopted plans: <u>Th</u> ea as Urban Neighborhood.	<u> </u>
11			n 6 consistent with future l		
14.		<u> </u>		and use plans!	
4 =	_	o 🗌	If no, why not?		
15.	development?	wn adjacent parce	is that could be combined	with this parcel to create a larger	
		o 🗌		levelopment scenarios <u>As noted ab</u>	ove, the
			v enue North adjacent to		
	•		. =	site for future development?	
	Ves 🗌 N	<b>^</b> ⊠	If Ves, what type of deve	lonment?	*

While this site is zoned R4, which can support multi-family development, a single-family Comments: home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighborhood category of the comprehensive

plan.

Completed by: Jim Voll

Date: 5/12/2013

COMMUNITY PLANNER:

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE. ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Planning Director Review

by: Jack Byers Date: 5/14/2013

PLANNING DIRECTOR:

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE. ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Residential Policy and Finance

by: Wes Butler

Date: 5/14/2013

Comments: Residential Finance Concurs with proposal

Residential & Real Estate Development

by: Elfric Porte

Date: <u>5/14/2013</u>

Comments: R-RED supports the development as proposed.

**Business Development Staff Comments** 

by: Kristin Guild

Date: 5/14/2013

Comments: Business Development supports the proposed sale and redevelopment of this property

Economic Development Director Review

by: Cathy Polasky

Date: 5/15/2013

#### PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:

$\boxtimes$	PROCEED to market the property	y as	propos	ed

Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in Section III) in advance of CD Committee hearing to acquire City Planning Commission finding of consistency with The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth

HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting

Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made

Director's Notes (reason for HOLD status):

Housing Director Review

by: Tom Streitz

Date: 5/14/2013

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

### Section I. Property Information

		ENTIRE FORM MU	ST BE COMPLETED PRIOR 1	TO MARKETING PROPERTY
		apease <b>žajo</b> žkominis	rtorsomiacherorany	ANDERO CADVAGENTE PARGERS
Sul	bmitted by:	Edith Johnson		
Pho	one #:	<u>612-673-5262</u>		
For	m Initiated Date	: <u>5/8/2013</u>		,
Co	mplete by Date:	<b>第二人称</b>		•
1.	Address:	2510 Plymouth A	<del>\ve N</del>	
2.	Property Identif	ication Number (PII	N): <u>17-029-24-44-0193</u>	
3.	Lot Size:	38 x 117 4,446 S	a ft	
4.	Current Use:	vacant land		· ·
5.	Current Zoning	: <u>R4</u>	•	
6.	Proposed future	e use (include attac	hments as necessary):	Single Family Development
7.				There are other adjacent properties on developer's Green Homes North proposal.
8.	exclusive devel	opment rights agree t materialize and Ci	ement for the developmer ity in conjunction with the	een Homes North Project. There was an nt of a 7-unit artist housing development. The neighborhood group agreed to do a design at time, ArtSpace has proposed as part of
				the two adjacent ones for single family
PR	OJECT COORDINA	TOR: EMAIL F	ORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON	N@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV
Sec	ction II. Zoning	Review		
		uildable for <b>any</b> stru	ucture 🛛 N	lon-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure
				inimum lot area and width requirement.
10.	•			oposed future use noted in item 6?
		o 🗌	•	Administrative Site Plan Review for a 1-4
		itv records indicate	a 1800 sf residence was	razed from this site in 1996.
		obert Clarksen	Date: 5/13/2013	
	NING STAFF:		ORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON	N@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV
		unity Planning Re	<del>.</del>	
	<del></del> ·			e no small area plans in effect for this parcel.
	Future land use	/designation(s) ider	ntified in The Minneapolis	Plan and other adopted plans: The
4 4				ea as Urban Neighborhood.
14.		· ·	6 consistent with future la	and use plans?
4 -	<del></del>		If no, why not?	and the distance of the second of the second
15.	development?	wn adjacent parcel	s that could be combined	with this parcel to create a larger
City			If yes, explain possible do renue North adjacent to t	evelopment scenarios <u>As noted above, the</u> <u>his site.</u>
16.	Is parcel identif	ed in adopted plans	s as a catalyst/essential s	ite for future development?
	Yes □ N	o 🛛	If Yes, what type of deve	lopment?

Comments:

While this site is zoned R4, which can support multi-family development, a single-family

home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighborhood category of the comprehensive

plan.

Completed by:

Jim Voll

Date: <u>5/12/13</u>

COMMUNITY PLANNER:

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Planning Director Review

by: Jack Byers Date: 5/14/2013

PLANNING DIRECTOR:

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE, ROBINSON MINNEAPOLISMN. GOV

Residential Policy and Finance

by: Wes Butler

Date: <u>5/14/2013</u>

Comments: Residential Finance Concurs with proposal

Residential & Real Estate Development

by: Elfric Porte

Date: 5/14/2013

Comments: R-RED supports the development as proposed.

**Business Development Staff Comments** 

by: Kristin Guild

Date: 5/14/2013

Comments: Business Development supports the proposed sale and redevelopment of this property

**Economic Development Director Review** 

by: Cathy Polasky

Date: 5/15/2013

#### PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:

$\boxtimes$	PROCEED to	market the	property as	proposed

Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in Section III) in advance of CD Committee hearing to acquire City Planning Commission finding of consistency with The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth

HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting

Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made

Director's Notes (reason for HOLD status):

Housing Director Review

by: Tom Streitz

Date: 5/14/2013

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

#### CPED - Public Land Sale and Acquisition Form Section I. Property Information PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I. ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY PREPARE ATTIACH MARAOF RUBUS CHÉRROIS BREVARUS ME ADUACH METARCELS Submitted by: Earl Pettiford Phone #: 612-673-5231 Form Initiated Date: 11/17/2011 Complete by Date: and 医不足性溃疡 Address: 2426 Plymouth Ave N 2. Property Identification Number (PIN): 1702924441038 Lot Size: 44 x 117 Current Use: VLND 5. Current Zoning: R1A Single Family Dwelling 6. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): 7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: None 8. Project Coordinator comments: Current proposal from Art Space to develop a single family home under the Green Space Program PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE. ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV Section II. Zoning Review Non-Buildable for any structure Buildable for any structure \( \square 9. Lot is Explain: SF Housing development is allowed 10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the proposed future use noted in item 6? If yes, what applications? At minimum, administrative site plan review Yes 🔯 No 🔲 will be required. Comments: Completed by: Tom Leighton Date: 5/30/2012 **ZONING STAFF:** EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV Section III. Community Planning Review 12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: There are no small area plans in effect for this parcel. 13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates this part of Plymouth Avenue as a Community Corridor and the area as Urban Neighborhood. 14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans? Yes 🖂 No 🗀 If no, why not? 15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development? If yes, explain possible development scenarios Yes 🗌 No 🖂 16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development? If Yes, what type of development? Yes 🗍 A single-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighborhood Comments: category of the comprehensive plan.

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Completed by:

COMMUNITY PLANNER:

Jim Voll

Date: 7/6/2012

Planning Director Review	by: <u>Jason Wittenberg</u>	Date: 7/20/2012
PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARL	ENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAP	OLISMN.GOV
Multi-Family Housing Staff Comments	by: <u>Wesley Butler</u>	Date: <u>7/30/2012</u>
Comments: MF housing concurs	•	
Orașie Facili III		
Single-Family Housing Staff Comments	by: <u>Elfric Porte</u>	Date: <u>7/26/2012</u>
Comments: SF supports the disposition strategy	as proposed.	
Pool Fototo Development Comica - Cta K C		
Real Estate Development Services Staff Commen		
Comments: Appears consistent with adopted rea	l estate disposition policie	<u>98.</u>
Business Development Staff Comments	han Krintin Carital	D-1-, 7/00/0040
	by: Kristin Guild	Date: <u>7/30/2012</u>
Comments: <u>Business Development supports the</u>	disposition strategy as pr	oposea.
Economic Development Director Review	by: Cathy Polasky	Date: 7/31/2012
	by. <u>Julity I Oldoky</u>	Date. 170 1720 12
PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PROCEED to market the property as proposed	<u>i</u>	
Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (	in Section III) in advance	of CD Committee hearing to
acquire City Planning Commission finding of consi	stency with <i>The Minneap</i>	olis Plan for Sustainable Growth
HOLD this property for discussion at next avail	able Director's Meeting	
Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options of Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether of	outlined in this form, this is not to proceed can be m	and sale must be discussed at a
Director's Notes (reason for HOLD status):	The to proceed out so in	
Housing Director Review	by Thomas Streitz	Date: 7/31/2012

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

### Section I. Property Information

COMMUNITY PLANNER:

PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I.				
ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY				
RUZAGETATETAGETWARFORSUBITEOTHERFORSERTY (AND ALL ADVAGENTE PARGETS				
Submitted by: <u>Edith Johnson</u>				
Phone #: 612-673-5262				
Form Initiated Date: 8/7/2012				
Complete by Date:				
1. Address: 3963 Colfax Ave N				
2. Property Identification Number (PIN): 0402924410031				
3. Lot Size: <u>37 x 127</u>				
4. Current Use: <u>Vacant Land</u>				
5. Current Zoning: R2B				
6. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): Single Family Housing Development				
7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: None				
8. Project Coordinator comments: Single Family Housing Development				
PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV				
Section II. Zoning Review				
9. Lot is Buildable for <b>any</b> structure Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure				
Explain: This lot is a lot of record. A single family dwelling is a permitted use on a lot of record in the R	2B			
Two Family District.				
10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the <b>proposed</b> future use noted in item 6?				
Yes ⊠ No ☐ If yes, what applications? At minimum, an application for administra	<u>tive</u>			
site plan review will be required.	•			
11. Comments:				
Completed by: <u>Jacob Steen</u> Date: <u>8/13/2012</u>				
ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV				
Section III. Community Planning Review				
12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: There are no small area plans in effect for this parce	<u>.l.</u>			
13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The				
Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates this area as Urban Neighborhood.				
14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans?				
Yes ⊠ No □ If no, why not?				
15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development?				
Yes ☐ No ⊠ If yes, explain possible development scenarios				
16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?				
Yes ☐ No ☑ If Yes, what type of development?				
Comments: A single-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighborho	ood			
category of the comprehensive plan.				
Completed by: Jim Voll Date: 8/27/2012				

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE, ROBINSON @MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Planning Director Review	by: <u>Jason Wittenberg</u>	
PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE	E.ROBINSON@MINNEAPO	LISMN.GOV
	. – .	
Multi-Family Housing Staff Comments	by: Wes Butler	Date: 8/30/2012
Comments: MF agrees with this sale		
•		
Single-Family Housing Staff Comments	by: Elfric Porte	Date: <u>9/5/2012</u>
Comments: Single Family concurs with the develop	ment as proposed	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Real Estate Development Services Staff Comments	· -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Comments: Proposed land sale appears in conform	<u>ance with real estate po</u>	<u>licies.</u>
Decision Development Of No.		B 1 0/0/0040
Business Development Staff Comments	by: Kristin Guild	Date: <u>9/3/2012</u>
Comments: <u>Business Development concurs with marresidential redevelopment as proposed.</u>	arketing these properties	s for sale for single family
residential redevelopment as proposed.		
Economic Development Director Review	by: Cathy Polasky	Date: 9/5/2012
	<u> </u>	
PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:		
PROCEED to market the property as proposed		
Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in	Section III) in advance o	of CD Committee hearing to
acquire City Planning Commission finding of consiste		
	•	
HOLD this property for discussion at next available		
Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options out		
Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or no	ot to proceed can be ma	ide
Director's Notes (reason for HOLD status):	*	
Housing Director Review	by: Tom Streitz	Date: 9/5/2012

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE. ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN. GOV

### Section I. Property Information

ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY
REFASE ATTIAGE MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AND AUTADUACENTIPAROELS
Submitted by: <u>Edith Johnson</u>
Phone #: 612-673-5262
Form Initiated Date: 8/7/2012
Complete by Date:
1. Address: 3830 Colfax Ave N
2. Property Identification Number (PIN): 0402924410195
3. Lot Size: 41 x 126
4. Current Use: Vacant Land
5. Current Zoning: R2B
6. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): Single Family Housing Development
7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: 3856 Colfax Ave N
8. Project Coordinator comments: Single Family Housing Development
PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE, ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV
Section II. Zoning Review
9. Lot is Buildable for <b>any</b> structure Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure
Explain: This parcel exceeds the minimum lot width and area for the R2B Two Family District. A single
family dwelling is a permitted use.
10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the <b>proposed</b> future use noted in item 6?
Yes ⊠ No ☐ If yes, what applications? <u>At minimum, an application for administrative</u>
site plan review will be required.
11. Comments:
Completed by: <u>Jacob Steen</u> Date: <u>8/13/2012</u>
ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV
Section III. Community Planning Review
12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: There are no small area plans in effect for this parcel.
13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: <u>The</u>
Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates this area as Urban Neighborhood.
14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans?
Yes ⊠ No ☐ If no, why not?
15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development?
Yes ☐ No ☒ If yes, explain possible development scenarios
16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?
Yes ☐ No ☒ If Yes, what type of development?
Comments: A single-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighborhood
category of the comprehensive plan.
Completed by: <u>Jim Voll</u> Date: <u>8/27/2012</u>
COMMUNITY PLANNER: FMAIL FORM TO ARLENE ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Planning Director Review	by: Jason Wittenberg Date: 8/29/2012					
PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLEN	E.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV					
	<u> </u>					
Multi-Family Housing Staff Comments	hy Mea Butler Data: 9/30/2012					
Comments: MF agrees with this sale	by: <u>Wes Butler</u> Date: <u>8/30/2012</u>					
in agreed war and date						
Single-Family Housing Staff Comments	by: Elfric Porte Date: 9/5/2012					
Comments: Single Family concurs with the develop	ment as proposed					
Real Estate Development Services Staff Comments						
Comments: Proposed land sale appears in conform	ance with real estate policies.					
Business Development Staff Comments	by: Kristin Guild Date: 9/3/2012					
Comments: Business Development concurs with ma						
residential redevelopment as proposed.						
Economic Development Director Review	by: <u>Cathy Polasky</u> Date: <u>9/5/2012</u>					
PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:						
FLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:						
PROCEED to market the property as proposed						
Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in	Section III) in advance of CD Committee hearing to					
	ency with The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth					
HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting  Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a						
	lined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a					
Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options out	lined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a					
Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options out Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or no	lined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a					

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

### Section I. Property Information

I MODES! GOOKBINATORO COMPLET E OLOTIONI.	
ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY	į
Busas e arangeralandes de la companya de la company	
Submitted by: <u>Earl Pettiford</u>	
Phone #: 5231	
Form Initiated Date: 8/6/2012	`.
Complete by Date:	
1. Address: 3319 Fremont Avenue North	
2. Property Identification Number (PIN): 0902924130059	
3. Lot Size: 45X127	
4. Current Use: <u>Vacant Land</u>	
5. Current Zoning: R2B	
6. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): Single family house development	
7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: <u>none</u>	
8. Project Coordinator comments: CPED will advertise this site for development proposals	
PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV	
Section II. Zoning Review	
9. Lot is Buildable for <b>any</b> structure ⊠ Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure □	
Explain: This parcel exceeds the minimum lot width and area for the R2B Two Family District. A sin	<u>ngle</u>
family dwelling is a permitted use.	
10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the <b>proposed</b> future use noted in item 6?	
Yes ⊠ No ☐ If yes, what applications? <u>At minimum, an application for admini</u>	<u>strative</u>
site plan review for a new single family home will be required.	
11. Comments:	
Completed by: Jacob Steen Date: 8/13/2012	
ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV	
Section III. Community Planning Review	<del></del>
<ol> <li>List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: <u>This area is within the study area of the Lowry A</u> <u>Strategic Plan.</u></li> </ol>	<u>venue</u>
13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: <u>The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates this area as Urban Neighborhood.</u>	
14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans?	
Yes ⊠ No ☐ If no, why not?	
15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development?	-
Yes ☐ No ☒ If yes, explain possible development scenarios	
16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?	
Yes ☐ No ☒ If Yes, what type of development?	
Comments: A single-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighb	orhood
category of the comprehensive plan.	
Completed by: Jim Voll Date: 8/27/2012	
COMMUNITY PLANNER: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE, ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV	

Planning Director Review	by: <u>Jason Wittenberg</u>	Date: 8/29/2012					
PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV							
Multi-Family Housing Staff Comments	by: Wes Butler	Date: <u>8/30/2012</u>					
Comments: MF agrees with these sales							
Single Family Hayaira Staff Cananaguta	han Elfrin Darta	D-1- 0/5/0040					
Single-Family Housing Staff Comments	by: <u>Elfric Porte</u>	Date: <u>9/5/2012</u>					
Comments: Single Family concurs with the developr	nent as proposed						
Real Estate Development Services Staff Comments	by: <u>Darrell Washingtor</u>	n Date: 9/3/2012					
Comments: Proposed land sale appears in conformation		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Tropogga taria gaio appears in comorna	arioc With I car estate po	noics.					
Business Development Staff Comments	by: Kristin Guild	Date: 9/3/2012					
Comments: Business Development concurs with ma							
residential redevelopment as proposed.							
		•					
Economic Development Director Review	by: Cathy Polasky	Date: <u>9/5/2012</u>					
PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
PROCEED to market the property as proposed							
Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in S							
acquire City Planning Commission finding of consister	ncy with <i>The Minneapol</i>	is Plan for Sustainable Growth					
HOLD this property for discussion at next available Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outli							
Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or no							
Director's Notes (reason for <b>HOLD</b> status):							
		<u> </u>					
Housing Director Review	by: <u>Tom Streitz</u>	Date: <u>9/5/2012</u>					

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

### Section I. Property Information

#### PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I.

ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY

PLEASE ATT AGENVAPIOR SUBJECT	SEBUAC NIVERALIZADIA DIVANCEE EDEGE
Edith Johnson	

Submitted by: Edith Johnson
Phone #: 612-673-5262
Form Initiated Date: 8/7/2012

Complete by Date:

1. Address: <u>1317 Sheridan Ave N</u>

2. Property Identification Number (PIN): 1702924440189

Lot Size: 42 x 129
 Current Use: Vacant Land
 Current Zoning: R1A

COMMUNITY PLANNER:

6. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): Single Family Housing Development

7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: None

8. Project Coordinator comments: Single Family Housing Development

PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE, ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Section II. Zoning Review	
9. Lot is Buildable for <b>any</b> structure ⊠ Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure □	
Explain: This parcel exceeds the minimum lot width and area for the R1A Single Family District. A single	!
family dwelling is a permitted use.	
10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the <b>proposed</b> future use noted in item 6?	
Yes No No If yes, what applications? At minimum, an application for administrative site plan review will be required.	<u>e</u>
11. Comments:	
Completed by: Jacob Steen Date: 8/13/2012	
ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE: ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV	
Section III. Community Planning Review	
12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: There are no small area plans in effect for this parcel.	
13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates this area as Urban Neighborhood.	
14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans?	
Yes ⊠ No ☐ If no, why not?	
15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development?	
Yes ☐ No ☒ If yes, explain possible development scenarios	
16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?	
Yes ☐ No ☑ If Yes, what type of development?	
Comments: A single-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighborhood	d
category of the comprehensive plan.	
Completed by: Jim Voll Date: 8/27/2012	

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE. ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN. GOV

Planning Director Review	by: Jason Wittenberg Date: 8/29/2012						
PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE, ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV							
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Multi Familia Harrisa Otaff O							
Multi-Family Housing Staff Comments	by: Wes Butler	Date: <u>9/3/2012</u>					
Comments: MF has no issue with this sale							
Single-Family Housing Staff Comments	by: Elfric Porte	Date: 9/5/2012					
Comments: Single Family Housing supports the dev							
Real Estate Development Services Staff Comments	by: Darrell Washingto	<u>n</u> Date: <u>9/3/2012</u>					
Comments: Proposed marketing for single family lar							
Business Development Staff Comments	by: Kristin Guild	Date: 8/30/2012					
Comments: Business Development concurs with the	e proposed marketing fo	or sale and redevelopment					
Economic Development Director Review	by: <u>Cathy Polasky</u>	Date: <u>9/6/2012</u>					
	•						
PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:							
	•						
PROCEED to market the property as proposed		i i					
Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in							
acquire City Planning Commission finding of consiste	ncy with <i>i ne iviinneapd</i>	ils Plan for Sustainable Growth					
HOLD this property for discussion at next availab	la Directoria Maatina	•					
Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options out	ined in this form, this la	nd sale must be discussed at a					
Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or no							
Director's Notes (reason for HOLD status):							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Housing Director Review	by: Tom Streitz	Date: 9/10/2012					

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

### Section I. Property Information

COMMUNITY PLANNER:

PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I.					
ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY					
PLEASE: A TEACH! MAP OF SUBJECTIFIC PROPERTY AND ALL ADJACENT PARCELS					
Submitted by: <u>Edith Johnson</u>					
Phone #: 612-673-5262					
Form Initiated Date: 4/12/2013					
Complete by Date:					
1. Address: <u>3018 4<sup>th</sup> St N</u>					
2. Property Identification Number (PIN): 10-029-24-32-0090					
3. Lot Size: 55 x 165 9,075 sq ft					
4. Current Use: Vacant Land					
5. Current Zoning: R2B					
6. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): Single Family Housing Development					
7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: 3020 4 <sup>th</sup> St N					
8. Project Coordinator comments:					
PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV					
Section II. Zoning Review					
9. Lot is Buildable for <b>any</b> structure Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure					
Explain:					
10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the <b>proposed</b> future use noted in item 6?					
Yes ⊠ No ☐ If yes, what applications? Administrative site plan review for a 1-4 unit	t				
dwelling.	-				
11. Comments: The Housing Development referenced in #6 is assumed to be a 1 or 2 family.					
Completed by: Robert Clarksen Date: 4/22/2013					
ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV					
Section III. Community Planning Review					
12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: This lot is within the study area of the Lowry Avenue Strategic Plan, which shows this area as Urban Neighborhood.					
13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The					
Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates this area as Urban Neighborhood.					
14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans?					
Yes ⊠ No ☐ If no, why not?					
15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development?					
Yes No No If yes, explain possible development scenarios CPED does own the parcel to the north at 3020 4 <sup>th</sup> Street North, but this area is mainly single-family homes.					
16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?					
16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?					
16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?  Yes □ No ⊠ If Yes, what type of development?					
and the second secon	<u>id</u>				

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE, ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Template Revised 9/1/2009

Planning Director Review by: Jack Byers Date: 5/10/2013 PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV Residential Policy and Finance by: Wes Butler Date: 5/10/2013 Comments: RF concurs with these items Residential & Real Estate Development by: Elfric Porte Date: 5/10/2013 Comments: R-RED supports the disposition as proposed. **Business Development Staff Comments** by: Kristin Guild Date: 5/12/2013 Comments: Business Development supports the sale as proposed. Economic Development Director Review by: Cathy Polasky Date: 5/13/2013

### PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:

PROCEED to market the property as proposed

Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in Section III) in advance of CD Committee hearing to acquire City Planning Commission finding of consistency with *The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth* 

HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting

Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made

Director's Notes (reason for **HOLD** status): Consider the possibility that since CPED owns two adjacent parcels, these could be combined to create a housing higher density that might accomadate great population that in one, or two sperate single family houses. -Jack

Housing Director Review

by: Tom Streitz

Date: 5/13/2013

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

#### Section I. Property Information PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I. ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY PLEASE ATNACH MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AND ALL ADJACENT PARCELS Submitted by: Edith Johnson Phone #: 612-673-5262 Form Initiated Date: 4/12/2013 Complete by Date: 1160/12015 3020 4th St N 1. Address: 2. Property Identification Number (PIN): 10-029-24-32-0194 3. Lot Size: 55 x 125 6,875 sq ft Current Use: Vacant Land 5. Current Zoning: R2B 6. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): Single Family Housing Development 3018 4th St N and 329 31st Ave N 7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: 8. Project Coordinator comments: 3020 4th & 3022 4th were combined as 3020 4th Ave N PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE. ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN. GOV Section II. Zoning Review Buildable for any structure X Non-Buildable for any structure 9. Lot is Explain: In process pending discussion w/ Staff 10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the **proposed** future use noted in item 6? If yes, what applications? Administrative Site Plan Review. Yes 🗌 No 🗌 11. Comments: The Housing Development referenced in #6 is assumed to be a 1 or 2 family. Completed by: Robert Clarksen Date: ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV Section III. Community Planning Review 12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: This lot is within the study area of the Lowry Avenue Strategic Plan, which shows this area as Urban Neighborhood. 13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates this area as Urban Neighborhood. 14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans? Yes 🖂 No 🗔 If no, why not? 15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development? If yes, explain possible development scenarios CPED does own the Yes 🗍 No 🔯 parcel to the south at 3018 4th Street North, but this area is mainly single-family homes. 16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development? Yes 🗌 No 🖂 If Yes, what type of development? A single-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighborhood Comments: category of the comprehensive plan.

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Completed by:

COMMUNITY PLANNER:

Jim Voll-

Date: 4/25/2013

Planning Director Review by: Jack Byers Date: 5/10/2013 PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE. ROBINSON @MINNEAPOLISMN. GOV Residential Policy and Finance by: Wes Butler Date: 5/10/2013 Comments: RF concurs with these items Residential & Real Estate Development by: Elfric Porte Date: 5/10/2013 Comments: R-RED supports the disposition as proposed. **Business Development Staff Comments**. by: Kristin Guild Date: 5/12/2013 Comments: Business Development supports the sale as proposed. Economic Development Director Review by: Cathy Polasky Date: 5/13/2013 PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX: PROCEED to market the property as proposed Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in Section III) in advance of CD Committee hearing to acquire City Planning Commission finding of consistency with The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made Director's Notes (reason for HOLD status): Consider the possibility that since CPED owns two adjacent

Housing Director Review

by: Tom Streitz

Date: 5/13/2013

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

that in one, or two sperate single family houses. -Jack

Note: The completed and signed CPED public land form and the Planning Commission action as to the consistency with the City's Comprehensive Plan *must* be attached to the staff report that is submitted to the Community Development Committee.

parcels, these could be combined to create a housing higher density that might accomadate great population

No 🔯

category of the comprehensive plan.

Completed by: Jim Voll

COMMUNITY PLANNER:

Yes

Comments:

#### Section I. Property Information PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I. ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY REEASE ATVAGH WAP OF SUBJECTION OPERPY AND VALUADIACENT PARCELS Submitted by: Edith Johnson 612-673-5262 Phone #: Form Initiated Date: 5/8/2013 Complete by Date: are alegories 1. Address: 329 31<sup>st</sup> Ave N 2. Property Identification Number (PIN): 10-029-24-32-0094 3. Lot Size: 40 x 110 4,400 Sq ft 4. Current Use: vacant land 5. Current Zoning: R2B 6. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): Single Family Development 7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: 8. Project Coordinator comments: This parcel is part of the Green Homes North Project PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV Section II. Zoning Review Buildable for **any** structure Non-Buildable for any structure 9. Lot is Explain: The parcel is buildable as a lot of record, despite the minimum lot area requirement. 10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the proposed future use noted in item 6? Yes 🖂 No □ If yes, what applications? Administrative Site Plan Review for a 1-4 dwelling. 11. Comments: Completed by: Robert Clarksen Date: 5/13/2013 ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV Section III. Community Planning Review 12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel. This area is within the study area of the Lowry Avenue Strategic Plan, which designates the area as Urban Neighborhood. 13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates this area as Urban Neighborhood. 14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans? No 🗔 If no, why not? 15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development? If yes, explain possible development scenarios However, the City owns Yes 🗌 3018 and 3020 4th Street North, adjacent and to the south, but combined the parcels would make an odd shape that would be difficult to develop. 16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?

If Yes, what type of development?

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE. ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN. GOV

Date: 5/12/2013

A single-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighborhood

Template Revised 9/1/2009

Planning Director Review	by: Jack Byers Date: 5/14/2013					
PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARL	ENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAP	OLISMN.GOV				
Residential Policy and Finance	by: Wes Butler	Date: <u>5/14/2013</u>				
Comments: Residential Finance Concurs with pr						
Residential & Real Estate Development	hu: Elfrio Dorto	Date: 5/14/2012				
Comments: R-RED supports the development as	by: <u>Elfric Porte</u>	Date: <u>5/14/2013</u>				
Business Development Staff Comments	by: Kristin Guild	Date: <u>5/14/2013</u>				
Comments: Business Development supports the	proposed sale and redev	relopment of this property				
Economic Development Director Review	by: Cathy Polasky	Date: <u>5/15/2013</u>				
Contonio Bevelopment Bilector Neview	by. Cathy Folasky	Date. <u>3/13/2013</u>				
PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:						
M BROCEED to market the property or support						
PROCEED to market the property as proposed Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (	•	of CD Committee bearing to				
acquire City Planning Commission finding of consi	stency with <i>The Minneap</i>	olis Plan for Sustainable Growth				
HOLD this property for discussion at next avail		and cale must be discussed at a				
Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options of Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether of	r not to proceed can be m	and sale must be discussed at a nade				
Director's Notes (reason for <b>HOLD</b> status):	·					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Housing Director Review	by: Tom Straitz	Date: 5/14/2013				

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE, ROBINSON @MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

### Section I. Property Information

1 NOBEST COCKDINATORS CONFEETE SECTION I.
ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY
Breaseathaghemapiorsubbjecteroperatyandyaleadyagexteakgees
Submitted by: Edith Johnson
Phone #: 612-673-5262
Form Initiated Date: 4/12/2013
Complete by Date:
1. Address: <u>2025 3<sup>rd</sup> Ave N</u>
2. Property Identification Number (PIN): 21-029-24-33-0154
3. Lot Size: 33 x 115 3.797 sq ft
4. Current Use: Vacant Land
5. Current Zoning: R1A
6. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): Single Family Housing Development
7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: None
8. Project Coordinator comments:
PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV
Section II. Zoning Review
9. Lot is Buildable for <b>any</b> structure ⊠ Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure □
Explain:
10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the <b>proposed</b> future use noted in item 6?
Yes ⊠ No ☐ If yes, what applications? <u>Administrative Site Plan review is required for</u>
a new Single Family dwelling.
11. Comments:
Completed by: Robert Clarksen Date: 4/22/2013
ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV
Section III. Community Planning Review
12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: There are no small area plans in effect for this parcel.
13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The
Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates this area as Urban Neighborhood.
14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans?
Yes ⊠ No ☐ If no, why not?
15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger
development?
Yes ☐ No ☒ If yes, explain possible development scenarios
16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?
Yes ☐ No ⊠ If Yes, what type of development?
Comments: A single-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighborhood
category of the comprehensive plan.
Completed by: Jim Voll Date: 4/25/2013
COMMUNITY PLANNER: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV
Planning Director Review by: <u>JAck Byers</u> Date: <u>5/10/2013</u>

PLANNING DIRECTOR:

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE. ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

		·
Residential Policy and Finance	by: Wes Butler	Date: 5/10/2013
Comments: RF concurs with these items		
Residential & Real Estate Development	by: Elfric Porte	Date: <u>5/10/2013</u>
Comments: R-RED supports the disposition as pr	oposed.	
Business Development Staff Comments	by: <u>Kristin Guild</u>	Date: <u>5/12/2013</u>
Comments: <u>Business Development supports the s</u>	sale as proposed.	
Economic Development Director Review	by: <u>Cathy Polasky</u>	Date: <u>5/13/2013</u>
PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:		
PROCEED to market the property as proposed	· 	
the state of the s		of CD Committee bearing to
Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (i acquire City Planning Commission finding of consist	itency with <i>The Minnean</i>	olis Plan for Sustainable Growth
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
HOLD this property for discussion at next availa	able Director's Meeting	
Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options or	utlined in this form, this la	and sale must be discussed at a
Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or	not to proceed can be m	ade
Director's Notes (reason for <b>HOLD</b> status):		•
Haveing Director Devices	h T Ot!	D 1 5/40/0040
Housing Director Review	by: <u>Tom Streitz</u>	Date: <u>5/13/2013</u>

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

#### Section I. Property Information PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I. ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY RUBASE ATTVACH MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AND ALUADJAGENT PARCELS Submitted by: Edith Johnson Phone #: 612-673-5262 Form Initiated Date: 3/15/2013 Complete by Date: Address: 2611 James Ave N 1708 24th ANN (42 ×129) Property Identification Number (PIN): 0902924340051 3. Lot Size: 42 x 86 - 3,629 sq ft 4. Current Use: Single Family 5. Current Zoning: R1A 6. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): Single Family 7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: None 8. Project Coordinator comments: CPED will purchase this propety from Hennepin County. The intent is to split 1708 26th Ave North to allow alley access to both 2611 James and 2607 James PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV Section II. Zoning Review 9. Lot is Buildable for any structure Non-Buildable for **anv** structure Explain: The property is currently an undersized lot of record. 10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the proposed future use noted in item 6? Yes 🕅 No 🗀 If yes, what applications? As provided in 531.100 (a), a variance to setbacks may be required due to the current size of the lot. Administrative Site Plan Review for a 1-4 unit dwelling is required. 11. Comments: Completed by: Robert Clarksen Date: 3/25/2013 ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV Section III. Community Planning Review 12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: There are no small area plans in effect for this parcel. 13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans. The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates this area as Urban Neighborhood. 14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans? Yes 🖂 No $\square$ If no, why not? 15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development? Yes 🖂 No 🗆 If yes, explain possible development scenarios The City owns the landllocked parcel to the west at 1708 26th Avenue North and the intent is to split 1708 26th Avenue North and combine with both 2607 James and 2611 James to make them stanard-sized lots with alley access. 16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development? Yes 🖂 No 🗆 If Yes, what type of development? This site is adjacent to the 25th and James Avenue Cluster and would support single-family development.

Re-establishing a standard-sized lots for single-family homes is in conformance with the

policy guidance of the Urban Neighborhood category of the comprehensive plan.

Comments:

Completed by:

Jim Voll

Date: 3/21/13

COMMUNITY PLANNER:

Housing Director Review

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Planning Director Review by: Jack Byers Date: 3/25/2013 PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE, ROBINSON MINNEAPOLISMN, GOV Residential Policy and Finance by: Wes Butler Date: 3/26/2013 Comments: RF has no concerns regarding this. Residential & Real Estate Development by: Elfric Porte Date: 3/26/2013 Comments: RRED supports the disposition as proposed **Business Development Staff Comments** by: Kristin Guild Date: 3/26/2013 Comments: Business Development supports the sale as proposed. **Economic Development Director Review** by: Cathy Polasky Date: 3/26/2013 PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX: PROCEED to market the property as proposed Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in Section III) in advance of CD Committee hearing to acquire City Planning Commission finding of consistency with The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made Director's Notes (reason for HOLD status):

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Note: The completed and signed CPED public land form and the Planning Commission action as to the consistency with the City's Comprehensive Plan must be attached to the staff report that is submitted to the Community Development Committee.

by: Tom Streitz

Date: 3/27/2013

#### Section I. Property Information PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I. ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY ELIMASEVANDRAGISHWADAGIZISHI SHIDDIGDA DA ERINGANDRAHUASHWAGENDEARIGERS Submitted by: Earl Pettiford Phone #: 612-673-5231 Form Initiated Date: 11/17/2011 Complete by Date: 1. Address: 4150 Upton Ave N 2. Property Identification Number (PIN): 0502924130141 Lot Size: 40 x 126.6 4. Current Use: VLND 5. Current Zoning: R1A Single Family House 6. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): 7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: None 8. Project Coordinator comments: Will Offer for Single Family Development PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV Section II. Zoning Review Buildable for any structure X Non-Buildable for **any** structure 9. Lot is Explain: SF Housing development is allowed 10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the proposed future use noted in item 6? If yes, what applications? At minimum, administrative site plan review Yes 🖾 No 🗔 will be required. 11. Comments: Completed by: Tom Leighton Date: 5/30/2012 ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV Section III. Community Planning Review 12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: There are no small area plans in effect for this parcel. 13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates this area as Urban Neighborhood. 14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans? Yes 🖂 If no, why not? No 🗌 15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development? Yes 🗍 No 🖂 If yes, explain possible development scenarios 16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development? Yes 🗌 No 🖂 If Yes, what type of development? A single-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighborhood Comments: category of the comprehensive plan. Date: 7/6/2012 Completed by: Jlm Voll COMMUNITY PLANNER: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE ROBINSON MINNEAPOLISMN GOV

by: Jason Wittenberg

Planning Director Review

Date: 7/30/2012

PLANNING DIRECTOR:

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Multi-Family Housing Staff Comments

by: Wesley Butler

Date: 7/31/2012

Comments: MF housing concurs

Single-Family Housing Staff Comments

by: Elfric Porte

Date: 7/31/2012

Comments: Single Family concurs with the proposed.

Real Estate Development Services Staff Comments by: <u>Darrell Washington</u> Date: <u>8/8/2012</u>

Comments: Proposal appears consistent with approved real estate policies.

**Business Development Staff Comments** 

by: Kristin Guild

Date: 8/13/2012

Comments: Business Development supports the sale offering strategy proposed.

**Economic Development Director Review** 

by: Cathy Polasky

Date: 8/17/2012

#### PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:

X	<u>PI</u>	<u>ROC</u>	EED	<u>to</u>	<u>marke</u>	t tl	<u>าе</u>	pro	perty	as	pro	pos	<u>ed</u>	
_					_			_		_				

Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in Section III) in advance of CD Committee hearing to acquire City Planning Commission finding of consistency with The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth

HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting

Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made

Director's Notes (reason for HOLD status):

Housing Director Review

by: Tom Streitz

Date: 8/13/2012

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

#### Section I. Property Information PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I. ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY Presentation of the control of the c Submitted by: Edith Johnson Phone #: 612-673-5262 Form Initiated Date: 4/12/2013 Complete by Date: 1. Address: 3858 Sheridan Ave N Property Identification Number (PIN): 05-029-24-41-0162 3. Lot Size: 63 x 128 8.056 sq ft 4. Current Use: Vacant Land 5. Current Zoning: R1A 6. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): Single Family Housing Development 7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: None 8. Project Coordinator comments: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE. ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN. GOV PROJECT COORDINATOR: Section II. Zoning Review Buildable for **any** structure Non-Buildable for any structure 9. Lot is Explain: Presumably, future use is "single family". The site is zoned R1A. 10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the proposed future use noted in item 6? If yes, what applications? Administrative Site Plan review Yes 🖂 No $\square$ 11. Comments: Completed by: Robert Clarksen Date: 4/22/2013 **ZONING STAFF:** EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV Section III. Community Planning Review 12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: There are no small area plans in effect for this parcel. 13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates this area as Urban Neighborhood. 14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans? If no, why not? Yes 🖂 No 🗆 15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development? If yes, explain possible development scenarios No 🏻 Yes $\square$ 16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development? If Yes, what type of development? Yes 🗌 No 🖂 A single-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighborhood Comments: category of the comprehensive plan. Completed by: Jim Voll Date: 4/23/13 COMMUNITY PLANNER: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV by: Jack Byers Date: 5/10/2013 Planning Director Review PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE. ROBINSON MINNEAPOLISMN. GOV

Residential Policy and Finance by: Wes Butler Date: 5/10/2013 Comments: RF concurs with these items Residential & Real Estate Development by: Elfric Porte Date: 5/10/2013 Comments: R-RED supports the disposition as proposed. **Business Development Staff Comments** by: Kristin Guild Date: 5/12/2013 Comments: Business Development supports the sale as proposed. Economic Development Director Review by: Cathy Polasky Date: 5/13/2013 PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX: PROCEED to market the property as proposed Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in Section III) in advance of CD Committee hearing to acquire City Planning Commission finding of consistency with The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made Director's Notes (reason for HOLD status):

Housing Director Review

by: Tom Streitz

Date: 5/13/2013

#### EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV